

What are Technical Analysts Seeking from my Applications?

Craig Richardson Ryan Snow



What are your expectations?

We are here for your success, tell us what to talk about

Background of Technical Review



- Pre Tech review era
- Julie era and figuring things out (product/process)
- Ryan and Craig



Purpose of Technical Review



- Streamline COA reviews (efficiency)
- Support agencies during applications (effectiveness)
- Coaching
- Q&A

What is Technical Review?



- Assessing applications for completeness and readiness for COA review
- Insight into common COA questions and concerns



What Tech Review Isn't



- Adjudicating applications
- Application managers for individual agencies
- The COA reviews and approves all Pro Board applications



Tech Review Process for Accreditation and Reaccreditations



- Review agency profile
 - What is the agency approved for?
- Review Self-Assessment
 - Are answers related to the question?
 - COA opinions
 - Copy and paste?
 - More than required?
- Review AMM
 - Compare with examples
- Supplemental Documentation

Tech Review Process for Extensions



- Review agency profile
 - What is the agency approved for?
- Review AMM
 - Compare with examples
 - If it matches, it goes on the consent agenda for next meeting
 - Feedback provided and sent back to agency for modifications
 - Passed to COA for review (45 days)
 - Questions from COA
 - Voted on at meeting





International Accreditation for Fire Service Organizations



"Improving Life Safety for Citizens and Emergency Personnel Through a System of Professional Qualifications"

About Us Accreditation Applicants Accredited Agencies Committee & Board Certification Candidates Contact Us



Documents and Videos

Information for Accredited Agencies

- COA Opinions 2023 A Companion Document to the Self Assessment Document
- · A List of Standards New Edition Effective Dates
- · A List of Standards & Levels to Which Agencies are Accredited
- · Standard and Levels: Prerequisites and Testable Sections
- · Web-Based Application System
- Web-Based Application System- Quick Reference Guides
- · Glossary of Terms

Accreditation Forms for Use

- · Approved Signature Registration Form
- Delegation of Authority Application

Accreditation Forms for Information and Development Use Only

Note: These forms are not to be used for submission. The on-line application system should be used when an agency is ready to submit any of these forms.

- · Selecting Assessment Methodologies Handout
- · Self Assessment Worksheet
- Development Matrices (AMMs)
- Examples of Assessment Methodology Matrices (AMMs) in the New Format (7/1/2024)
- Development Application for Accreditation and Re-Accreditation North American
- · Development Application for Accreditation and Re-Accreditation International
- Development Application for a Change in Accredited Practices
- · Development Application for Extension of Accreditation



If your agency selects completing their online Assessment Methodology Matrix (AMM) utilizing these test methods, our Technical Analysts may place your application under a COA meeting consent agenda bypassing the usual COA review.

The spaces identified below with an " \mathbf{X} " must be replaced with the appropriate cognitive test item numbers (e.g. Questions 1,4,6,7,9, etc.) or the score sheet numbers under Product, Psychomotor/Process methods as score sheet numbers (e.g.- SS 101, 202, and 304, etc.).

	Knowledge-Based Assessments		Performance-Based Assessments	
	(graded after submission)		(graded in real-time as they are performed)	
	Cognitive	Product	Psychomotor	Process
Section	(e.g. Multiple Choice, Short Answer, Discretionary Time with Resources)	(e.g., document or develop a budget, proposal, lesson plan)	(Primarily an observable physical task. e.g., don, doff)	(Primarily a mental or verbalized task. e.g., inspect)
so that th	-	nplete, clear, and conci	s, given an assignment at ar se; safety considerations a	
so that th	e instructions are con	nplete, clear, and conci		
so that the desired of the desired o	ne instructions are conveyed utcomes are conveyed Requisite Knowledge.	nplete, clear, and concide.	se; safety considerations a	re addressed; and the
so that the desired of the desired o	ne instructions are conveyed utcomes are conveyed Requisite Knowledge.	nplete, clear, and concide.	se; safety considerations at X s during emergency incides	re addressed; and the
so that the desired of 4.2.1 (A) leadershi understa 4.2.1 (A) 4.2.1 (B)	Requisite Knowledge. ip, techniques used to nding.	nplete, clear, and concide. Verbal communication make assignments unc	se; safety considerations at X s during emergency incides	re addressed; and the nets, characteristics of methods of confirming



Tech Review Process for Change in Practice



- Review agency profile
 - What is the agency approved for?
- Review the change
 - Are relevant criteria addressed?
- Pass to COA for review
 - Questions from COA
- Voted on at meeting





Questions?